Industry

insights IPEX >>>>

IPEX's industry insights is a series of expert opinion thought leadership articles on issues on today's railways.

Are you sitting comfortably?

In recent years, <u>Mm</u>any new-trains are beinghave been introduced with seats that are generally considered less comfortable than the seats on the trains they replace. Measure of seat comfort has always been a difficult aspect of train specification, and the mosta common solution to the problem has been not to write anything at all. This has resulted in the situation where as train manufacturers strive for slimmer seats to improve the overall capacity of the train and meet the customer's capacity targets, so the comfort of the passenger gets ignored. This article investigates some of the issues surrounding seat comfort and puts forward a recommendation for future research.





Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

1	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
(Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT, 11 pt
ſ	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

The dilemma

The first impressions of time I rode on the new Thameslink train when they that's a nice looking train I thought were introduced were that they were a marked improvement on those they were replacing. However, much was written about the seats. As one passenger reported:

. Then I sat down in the seat. Within two minutes I had back ache and had to stand up. Fortunately, Iy I was only going from St Pancras to Blackfriars. Imagine if I was going from Bedford or Brighton to London? I would have to choose to stand for the journey or buy a cushion.

So spoke a passenger giving their impression of the new Class 700s operating on Thameslink. Unfortunately, this seems to happen more and more frequently. Testing the The new Class 374 Eurostar trains got a similarly poor reception when compared with the original seats in the Class .373, after 10 minutes, one's rear end was quite numb. Two hours to Paris could well be construed as some form of torture. At this point, if one puts two and two together and notes that both these trains are from one manufacturer would result in scoring five, as the seats are manufactured by different companies.

 JEP - The Class

 80x
 AT300

 seats have also
 6

 been
 written

 about.
 Roger

 Ford and lan
 7

 Walmsley both
 2

 have written
 3

 the subject of
 3

 uncomfortable
 seats. So what

 has's happened?
 4

_			Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
	IFD	Example	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT Bold Not Italic Font
	6-12	Bed pillows, thick back pillows	color: Text 2
	12 - 18	Back pillows, upholstery padding, wrag	Formatted: Font: Cill Sans MT
	19 - 24	Thin back pillows, tufting matrix, very	
	10-24	seat cushions, wraps	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
	24 – 30	Average seat cushions, upholstery particular tight seats, cortain mattrees types quilt	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT, Not Italic
		ugiti seats, certain mattress types, dui	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
	30 – 36	Firmer seat cushions, mattresses	<u></u>
f	36 – 45	Thin seat cushioning and firm mattress	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT, Not Italic
		Shock absorbing foams, packaging f	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
	>45	carpet pads, and other uses requiring	ultra-
		firm foams	

As mentioned in the opening paragraph,Q often seat comfort is ignored in new train technical specifications. That is's because it_i's actually quite hard-difficult to determine (please excuse the pun). One specification from 15 or so years ago stated seats should be "no harder than the existing trains" conveniently leaving to the manufacturer to determine the answer. Or so the writer thought. The manufacturer merely produced a seat and suggested the customer prove if they could that the seats were harder if they could than the predecessor version. Stalemate.

Seat comfort measure

Seat comfort potentially has suffered as fire regulations have <u>got_become</u>_more stringent, meaning that manufacturers will-reduce the foam inside a seat to the smallest possible amount to ensure the tests are passed. Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
1	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT, Bold, Not Italic, Font color: Text 2
	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Industry insights IPEX >>>>

Added to which, there is an <u>ever-</u> increasing demand to increase the number of seats in a vehicle. This can be achieved by increasing the length of the vehicle <u>as demonstrated by Hitachi's AT300</u> <u>design</u>, but also by slimming the seat back.

However, seat hardness can certainly be measured; there However, seat hardness can certainly be measured; there is a standard commonly used by the Polyurethane Foam Association to measure indentation force deflection (IFD).

IFD is defined as the amount of force, in pounds, required to indent a fifty square inch, round, indentor foot into a predefined foam specimen by a certain percentage of the specimen's total thickness. For example the table above gives values at 25% Deflection (lb/50 insq. on 20"x20"x4"). However, is it fair to say that seats are uncomfortable because they aren't soft enough?

Table 14. Indentation force deflection values

IFD	Example					
6-12	Bed pillows, thick back pillows					
12 – 18	Back pillows, upholstery padding, wraps					
18-24	Thin back pillows, tufting matrix, very thick seat cushions, wraps					
24 - 30	Average seat cushions, upholstery padding, tight seats, certain mattress types, quilting					
30 - 36	Firmer seat cushions, mattresses					
36 – 45	Thin seat cushioning and firm mattresses					
>45	Shock absorbing foams, packaging foams, carpet pads, and other uses requiring ultra- firm foams					

One size does not fit all

For n	nany y	vears, a	nyone	e c	ver	about	six	feet	tall
found	that	travelli	ng b	у	train,	alth	ougł	n infir	itely
more	comfo	ortable	than	а	bus,	<u>wa</u> s	stil	l not	the
most		comfor	table		e	exerci	se.		<u>This</u>
was o	often i	ndicated	l by	the	e rap	oidity	in	which	the
priorit	у	seats		w	ere	1	takei	n	up
as	they	giv	е	tha	at	extr	a	legr	oom

Additionally, some seats on some trains have been set lower than knee height and leaves the tall user in an ungainly and uncomfortable semi-squat position. The seats on the BR multiple units that use the Mk3 bodyshell are classic examples of this, as are the "Mallard" seats on the Mk4 carriages. Interestingly the original Mk3 seats, although of similar height, are more comfortable, presumably because they are slightly more reclined. The question then is, what anthropometric data is being used for seat design, and does it represent the modern demographic of travellers?

A glimmer of an idea

For many years, theln the IPEX York "office" used the desk seating accommodation for the desk is in the form of an IKEA chair made of laminated plywood. It hads no cushioning at all, excepting the gas strut, and yet an eight_-hour session in front of the



The immediate answer is that the office chair can be adjusted. The IKEA chair is not a fancy seat; it only has one adjustment, but a crucial one – seat height.

The main requirement of a comfortable upright seat is that the user's upper legs are parallel with the floor supported by the chair along their length. If the seat is too high, undue pressure is put on the back of the legs behind the knees, and if too low, undue pressure is put on the lower back.

Concluding remarks

Imagine if height adjustable seats were introduced onto passenger trains? There would be obstacles to overcome, such as how to work the mechanism and how to make it robust enough to cope with the demands of the travelling public and the occasional wilfully destructive occupant. Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT, Bold, Not Italic, Font
	color: Text 2
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT



Industry insights IPEX >>>>

However, research into this may well provide the answer to the comfortable ride that has alluded so many recently. Given the plethora of research into seating such as the "adaptable carriage" for carrying freight off—peak, there is no reason to suggest that such a concept would not attract funding or that the funding would be misplaced.

2021 update

Sncethisheoriginal version of this IPEX Insight thought leadership article was published in 2017, RSSB has conducted a research project ""Defining the requirements of a seat comfort selection process" (reference T1140) on behalf of the Vehicle-Vehicle-Vehicle Systems Interface Committee (V–V-SIC) and the Seat Comfort Group. This has already seen industry take-up with First RailGroup making use of the research findings to inform its rolling stock procurements,



most notably for its forthcoming East Coast open access operation... Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT



IPEX Consulting is a bespoke consultancy providing trains systems commercial engineering solutions across the global railway industry.

Chris Hoskin is <u>IPEX's an AssociateTechnical</u> Director with IPEX Consulting. He has 2219 years' experience in the UK, Europe, Asia Pacific, and North Americas, having developed a career specialising in rolling stock while having a deep understanding of the rail industry systems interactions. He specialises in procurement, <u>decarbonisation</u>, <u>development</u> of standards, both national and local, strategic planning and due diligence, and the development and use of remote condition monitoring equipment.

Contact: <u>hc.hoskin@ipexconsulting.com</u> 07970 287 834

	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
1	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
-	Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT

Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT
Formatted: Font: Gill Sans MT